

---

## Table of Contents

A Note from the Editor	1
Executive Summary	5
Use storytelling tactics to engage reviewers	5
Show recent accomplishments on pubs list	6
Research environment	6
User’s Guide to the New NIH Short Form Grant Application	8
Chapter I	8
Potential ‘Impact’ of your work guides reviewers	8
Impact varies from grant to grant; SBIR review differs from R01	9
‘Reliability,’ other uses of data can raise Impact score	9
Biosketch now includes Personal Statement	10
‘Experience’ needn’t be solely in academic research	11
Use Personal Statement ‘creatively’	11
New guidelines limit number of publications to list	12
Significance, Innovation now part of Research Strategy	14
Reviewers focusing more on where you work	15
Innovation takes on new importance	15
New Approach section minimizes reviewer commentary	16
Many reviewers will base funding on Specific Aims	17
Reviewers Differentiate among Aims, Goals, and Objectives	18
Area Grant applications may face looser criteria	19
Budget Justification section matters	19
Detail resources in Resources section	20
Military researchers similar to corporate applicants	20
Chapter III	25
Appendix A	26
Focus Shifts to ‘Impact’ of Work You Propose	26
No template exists for highlighting Impact	26
Concept of ‘impact’ combines science with likelihood of success	26
Appendix B	28
Use These 9 Tips to Get the Most Out of Your Application	28
Appendix C	29
NIH Document Offers Sample Questions, Case Studies to Explain ‘Impact’	29

---

Key Points	30
Overall Impact	30
Significance	30
Frequently Asked Questions	31
Case Studies	35
Case Study #1:	35
Case Study #2:	36
Case Study #3:	37
Appendix D	37
Instructions Guide Applicants Through Completion Process	37